

A TRAINING COURSE FOR MITIGATION BANKING & IN-LIEU FEE PROGRAM INTERAGENCY REVIEW TEAMS

2016 Course Resource List

[Contents of DVD-ROM]

I. Key Policy Resources

- A. *Department of Defense and Environmental Protection Agency. April 10, 2008. *Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources*. Final rule. Federal Register. Vol. 73, No. 70: pp. 19594-19705. [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/compensatory-mitigation>]
- B. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration. August 28, 2007. *Advisory Circular: Hazardous Wildlife Attractants On or Near Airports*. AC No: 150/5200-33B. [http://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/advisory_circular/150-5200-33B/150_5200_33b.pdf]
- C. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. August 3, 2008. *Minimum Monitoring Requirements for Compensatory Mitigation Projects Involving, Restoration, Establishment and/or Enhancement of Aquatic Resources*. Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 08-03. [http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/civilworks/RGLS/rgl08_03.pdf]
- D. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Guidance on the Use of Financial Assurances, and Suggested Language for Special Conditions for Department of the Army Permits Requiring Performance Bonds*. Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 05-01. [http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/civilworks/RGLS/rgl05_01.pdf]
- E. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. November 7, 2003. *Model Compensatory Mitigation Plan Checklist for Aquatic Resource Impacts Under the Corps Regulatory Program Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act*. Memorandum to the Field. [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/model-mitigation-plan-checklist>]
- F. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. October 29, 2003. *Model "Operational Guidelines for Creating or Restoring Wetlands that are Ecologically Self-Sustaining" for Aquatic Resource Impacts Under the Corps Regulatory Program Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act*. Memorandum to the Field. [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/memorandum-field-adaptation-national-research-council-compensatory-mitigation-guidelines>]
- G. Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Air Force, Department of the Army, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S. Department of Agriculture. July 2003. *Memorandum of Agreement Between the Federal Aviation Administration, the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Army, the U.S.*

Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture to Address Aircraft-Wildlife Strikes.

[<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/federal-aviation-administration-memorandum-agreement-address-aircraft-wildlife-strikes>]

- H. Department of the Army, Federal Highway Administration, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. July 11, 2003. *Federal Guidance on the Use of the TEA-21 Preference for Mitigation Banking to Fulfill Mitigation Requirements Under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.* [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/transportation-equity-act-21st-century-tea-21-guidance-federal-highway-administration>]
- I. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. September 10, 1999. *Final Policy on the National Wildlife Refuge System and Compensatory Mitigation Under the Section 10/404 Program.* Federal Register. Vol. 64, No. 175: 49229-49234. [<http://www.fws.gov/habitatconservation/Refuge%20Mitigation%20Policy%201999FR.pdf>]
- J. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Nov 6, 2008. *Implementation Guidance for the Water Resource Development Act of 2007- Section 2036(c) Wetlands Mitigation.* [<http://planning.usace.army.mil/toolbox/library/WRDA/wrda07sec2036c.pdf>]
- K. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. Aug 31, 2009. *Implementation Guidance for Section 2036(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (WRDA) – Mitigation for Fish and Wildlife and Wetlands Losses.* [<http://planning.usace.army.mil/toolbox/library/WRDA/wrda07sec2036a.pdf>]
- L. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Department of the Army. February 6, 1990. *Memorandum of Agreement Between the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Army Concerning the Determination of Mitigation Under the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.* [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/memorandum-agreement>]
- M. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1980. *Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredged or Fill Material.* Federal Register. Vol. 45, No. 249: 85336-85357. [<https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/section-404b1-guidelines-40-cfr-230>]

II. Session Resources

Session 1: Overview of 3rd Party Mitigation Policy and Regulations

1. *Reference Document: "Overview of Federal Mitigation Banking Policy and Regulations"
2. EPA Fact Sheets: Wetland Regulatory Authority
3. EPA Fact Sheets: Compensatory Mitigation Fact Sheet, revised May 2008
4. EPA Fact Sheets: Mitigation Banking Fact Sheet, revised May 2008
5. EPA Fact Sheets: Compensatory Mitigation Rule, March 2008
6. Government Accountability Office. September 2005. *Wetlands Protection: Corps of Engineers Does Not Have an Effective Oversight Approach to Ensure That Compensatory Mitigation Is Occurring*. Washington, DC: GAO. GAO-05-898.
7. Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Mitigation Rule Retrospective: A Review of the 2008 Regulations Governing Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources. [\[https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/mitigation-rule-retrospective-review-2008-regulations-governing-compensatory-mitigation\]](https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/mitigation-rule-retrospective-review-2008-regulations-governing-compensatory-mitigation)
8. Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "National Wetland Mitigation Banking Study: Technical and Procedural Support to Mitigation Banking Guidance." IWR Technical Paper WMB-TP-2. See Chapter Five: "Financial and Legal Assurances." December 1995.
9. Madsen, Becca, Nathaniel Carroll, Daniel Kandy, and Genevieve Bennett, 2011 Update: State of Biodiversity Markets. Washington, DC: Forest Trends, 2011 [\[http://www.ecosystemmarketplace.com/reports/2011_update_sbdm\]](http://www.ecosystemmarketplace.com/reports/2011_update_sbdm)
10. Martin, Steven, Robert Brumbaugh, Paul Scodari, and David Olson. March 2006. *Compensatory Mitigation Practices in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Working Paper.
11. National Research Council. 2001. *Compensating for Wetland Losses Under the Clean Water Act*. National Academy Press.
12. Presidential Memo: Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources From Development and Encouraging Related Private Investment. November 3, 2015.
13. Short, Cathleen. *Mitigation Banking*. U.S. Fish & Wildlife. Serv. Biol. Rep. 88(41). 1988.
14. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Environmental Protection Agency. Model Compensatory Mitigation Plan Checklist for Aquatic Resource Impacts Under the Corps Regulatory Program Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.
15. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Flexibility and Clarifications Provided in the Preamble to 33 CFR 332. 2008.
16. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Use of Mitigation Banks for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Projects*. Policy Guidance Letter (PGL) No. 46. April 22, 1998.

17. Wilkinson, Jessica and Jared Thompson. *2005 Status Report on Compensatory Mitigation in the United States*. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute. April 2006.
18. Wilkinson, Jessica, Roxanne Thomas and Jared Thompson. *The Status and Character of In-Lieu Fee Mitigation in the United States*. Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute. June 2006.

Web Resources:

- EPA Compensatory Mitigation Reports and Evaluations published since 2000: <https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/compensatory-mitigation-evaluations-and-reports>
- EPA Compensatory Mitigation Web page: <https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/compensatory-mitigation>

Session 2: Bank Instrument Documentation and Overview of IRTs

Part A: Instrument Documentation

1. *Reference Document: "Mitigation Banking and In-Lieu Fee Documentation: From Conceptual Plan to Final Banking Instrument"
2. *California 8 Party MOU on Mitigation and Conservation Banking and ILF Programs (2011)
3. *California BEI Template, May 2008.
4. *California Mitigation Banking Proposal Procedures, September 2010.
5. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. MBI Template. 2009.
6. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus Template. 2010.
7. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. MBI Template, 2010
8. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Mitigation Plan Template." <http://www.swf.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Permitting/MitigationTemplates.aspx>
9. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus Checklist, 2010
10. Iowa Mitigation Banking Package, February 2011
11. Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. May 1996. "National Wetland Mitigation Banking Study: Model Banking Instrument." Alexandria, VA: USACE, IWR. IWR Technical Paper WMB-TP-1
12. Missouri Mitigation Banking Instrument Outline for Proposed Banks in the State. January 2010
13. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Banking Instrument Template, 2013
14. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Initial Review Checklist
15. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. MBI Template, April 2010

16. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation SOP, June 2004
17. *New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus checklist
18. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus Template
19. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. MBI Template, February 2010
20. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus Checklist, Oct 2009
21. North Dakota. Wetland Mitigation Banking in North Dakota – Interagency Guidance for Mitigation Bank Sponsors – 2010
22. Ohio Guidelines for Mitigation Banking, July 2011
23. Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Guidance for Compensatory Mitigation and Mitigation Banking in Omaha District” August 2005.
[\[http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Portals/23/docs/regulatory/mitigation/200508-MitigationGuide.pdf\]](http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Portals/23/docs/regulatory/mitigation/200508-MitigationGuide.pdf)
24. Portland District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Plan Template, 2008
25. Portland District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Oregon Mitigation Bank Prospectus, February 2012
26. Portland District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Oregon MBI Template, December 2012
27. Sacramento and San Francisco Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation and Monitoring Proposal Guidelines, December 2004
28. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Standard Operating Procedure: Compensatory Mitigation.” March 2004
29. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10. “Wetland Mitigation in Washington State: Part II – Developing Mitigation Plans.” March 2006.
<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/wetlands/mitigation/guidance/index.html>
30. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Bank Agreement Template 2013
31. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Bank Instrument Submittal Procedures for Washington State 2013
32. St. Louis District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “St. Louis District Mitigation and Monitoring Guidelines.” June 30, 2004.
<http://www.mvs.usace.army.mil/Portals/54/docs/regulatory/mitigation/guidelines.pdf>
33. St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Minnesota Mitigation Bank Instrument Template 2010
34. St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Guidance for MN 2009
35. South Pacific Division Regional Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Guidelines 2015
<http://www.spd.usace.army.mil/Portals/13/docs/regulatory/mitigation/MitMon.pdf>

36. *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Compensatory Mitigation Rule: Timeline for Bank or ILF Instrument Approval. 2008.
37. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HQ. Mandatory Provision to Include in All Mitigation Bank & ILF Program Instruments July 9, 2014.
38. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Final MBI Template January, 2013
39. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Monitoring Requirements and Performance Standards for Compensatory Mitigation in North Carolina" February 22, 2013.
40. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. NCIRT Mitigation Review Framework, September 2011
41. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "North Carolina Stream Mitigation Guidelines" April 2003.
42. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Prospectus Checklist, May 2012
43. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Stream Mitigation Considerations Checklist." April 2011.
44. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Use of Stream Preservation as Compensatory Mitigation In North Carolina". December 2012
45. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Wetland Mitigation Considerations Checklist." January 2011.
46. West Virginia Guidance on Mitigation Banking, February 2010
47. Wisconsin Template Mitigation Banking Instrument 2011

Part B: Composition and Role of Interagency Review Teams (IRTs)

1. *Reference Document: "The Composition and Role of IRTs"
2. Alaska Statewide IRT. Roles & Responsibilities Agreement 2013
3. Ladd. Post-mitigation rule IRTs in New England: overseeing transitions from pre-rule to rule-compliant In-lieu fee programs. National Wetland Newsletter Vol 33(2): 2011.
4. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Internal Bank Establishment SOP, Oct 2009
5. Oregon IRT Public Notice: Appropriate Use of Public Funds in Species and Wetland Projects January 2008.
6. Oregon IRT Roles and Responsibilities Agreement, 2013

Part C: Role of State and District Mitigation Banking Policy and Regulations

1. *Reference Document: “The Role of State and District Mitigation Banking Policy and Regulations”
2. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Guidelines for preparing a Compensatory Mitigation Plan” October 18, 2010.
3. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, *et al.* “Joint State/Federal Administrative Procedures for the Establishment and Operation of Mitigation Banks in South Carolina.” September 2002.
4. Chicago District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. “Interagency Coordination Agreement on Wetland Mitigation Banking within the Regulatory Boundaries of Chicago District, Corps of Engineers.” June 2008
5. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Annual Monitoring Report Form”
6. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Mitigation Banking in the Fort Worth District.” June 2011.
7. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Fort Worth Mitigation Banking Guidance” August 2012.
8. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Compensatory Mitigation
9. Rock Island District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Rock Island District Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Report (1-5 year)”
10. Rock Island District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Rock Island District Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Report (6-10 year)”
11. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, “Draft Guidelines on the Establishment and Operation of Wetland and Stream Mitigation Banks in Georgia.” 2011
12. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10. “Wetland Mitigation in Washington State: Part I – Agency Policies and Guidance.” March 2006.
13. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Wilmington District NCIRT Mitigation Review Framework – September 2011

Session 3: Ecological Considerations for Bank Site Selection and Design

1. *Reference Document: "Ecological Considerations for Mitigation Bank and In-Lieu Fee Program Site Selection and Design"
2. Ambrose, Richard F., John C. Callaway, and Steven F. Lee. An Evaluation of Compensatory Mitigation Projects Permitted Under Clean Water Act Section 401 by the California State Water Quality Control Board (1991-2002). 2007.
3. Ambrose, Richard F. "Wetland Mitigation in the United States: Assessing the Success of Mitigation Policies." *Wetlands (Australia)*. 19: 1-27. 2000.
4. Cole, Charles Andrew and Deborah Shafer. 2002. *Section 404 Wetland Mitigation and Permit Success Criteria in Pennsylvania, USA, 1986-1999. Environmental Management*. 30(4): 508-515.
5. Center for Natural Lands Management. Natural Lands Management: 28 Case Studies. 2004.
6. Environmental Law Institute and The Nature Conservancy. 2014. Watershed Approach Handbook. Washington, DC. 187 pp.
7. Environmental Law Institute. 2005 Status Report on Compensatory Mitigation. 2006.
8. Environmental Law Institute. A Handbook for Prioritizing Wetland and Stream Restoration and Protection Using Landscape Analysis Tools. Washington, DC. 2013.
9. Environmental Law Institute. Banks and Fees: The Status of Off-Site Wetland Mitigation in the United States. 2002.
10. Environmental Law Institute. The Status and Character of In-Lieu Fee Mitigation in the United States. 2006.
11. Erdle et al. 2001. Conservation Plan for the Southern Watershed Area. Natural Heritage Technical Report #00-12. Virginia Department of Conservation & Recreation. Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, VA 57pp.+ appendices.
12. Flanagan and Richardson. 2010. A Multi-Scale Approach to Prioritize Wetland Restoration for Watershed-Level Water Quality Improvement, *Wetlands Ecol. Manage* 18:695-706.
13. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. 2007. Report on Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking in Florida.
14. Government Accountability Office. Corps of Engineers Does Not Have an Effective Oversight Approach to Ensure that Compensatory Mitigation is Occurring. 2005.
15. Government Accountability Office. Wetlands Protection: Assessments Needed to Determine Effectiveness of In-Lieu-Fee Mitigation. 2001.
16. Hill, T, E. Kulz, B. Munoz, J. Dorney. 2013. Compensatory stream and wetland mitigation in North Carolina: an evaluation of Regulatory success. *Environ. Manage.* 51:1077-1091.

17. Hruby, Harper, and Stanley. 2009. Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach. Washington Dept of Ecology. Ecology Publication #09-06-032
18. IUCN. 2004. Biodiversity Offsets: Views, experience, and the business case.
19. Kiesecker, J.M., H. Copeland, A. Pocewicz, and B. McKenney. 2009. *Development by design: blending landscape-level planning with the mitigation hierarchy*. Frontiers in Ecology and Environment.
20. Kihlslinger, R.L. 2008. Success of Wetland Mitigation Projects. *National Wetlands Newsletter*. 30(2): 14-16. Environmental Law Institute. 2006.
21. Map Viewing Resources on the Internet
22. Micacchion, M, B. Gara, and J Mack. 2010. Assessment of Mitigation Projects in Ohio. Volume 1: An ecological assessment of Ohio individual wetland mitigation projects. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2010-1A.
23. Minkin, Paul and Ruth Ladd. 2003. Success of Corps-Required Mitigation in New England. USACE New England District.
24. Morgan, J and P. Hough, 'Compensatory Mitigation Performance: The State of the Science', *National Wetlands Newsletter*, 37 (2015), 9 p
25. Morgan, Kenneth and Thomas Roberts. 1999. *An assessment of wetland mitigation in Tennessee*. Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation.
26. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. Creating Indicators of Wetland Status (Quantity and Quality): Freshwater Wetland Mitigation in New Jersey.
27. *Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Virginia Off-site Mitigation Site Location Guidelines. March 5, 2008.
28. Ohio EPA. 2006. An Ecological Assessment of Ohio Mitigation Banks: Vegetation, Amphibians, Hydrology, and Soils. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2006-1.
29. PG Environmental and Midwest Biodiversity Institute. 2012. Great Lakes Basin Evaluation of Compensation Sites Report. EPA Contract No. EP-R5-10-02.
30. Richardson, C. J., N. E. Flanagan, Mengchi Ho, and J.W. Pahl. 2011. *Integrated stream and wetland restoration: A watershed approach to improved water quality on the landscape*. Ecol. Engineer. 37:25-39
31. Smith and Klimas. 2013. A Multi-Scale Approach to Assess and Restore Ecosystems in a Watershed Context. USACE Engineering Research & Development Center. ERDC/EL TR-13-18
32. Strager, Anderson, Osbourne, and Fortney. 2010. A Three-Tiered Framework to Select, Prioritize, and Evaluate Potential Wetland and Stream Mitigation Banking Sites. *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.* 19:1-18.
33. Stefanik, K and W. Mitsch. 2012. Structural and Functional Vegetation Development in created and restored wetland mitigation banks of different ages. *Ecol. Engineering* 39: 104-112.

34. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Memo to the Field: Model “Operational Guidelines for Creating or Restoring Wetlands that are Ecologically Self-Sustaining” for Aquatic Resource Impacts Under the Corps Regulatory Program Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, October 2003
35. Walsh, Fletcher and Ladson. Stream Restoration in Urban Catchments Through Redesigning Stormwater Systems: Looking to the Catchment to Save the Stream. The North American Benthological Society. 2005.
36. Washington State Department of Ecology. Washington State Wetland Mitigation Evaluation Study, Phase 1: Compliance *and* Phase 2: Evaluating Success. 2000 and 2002.
37. Washington State Department of Ecology. Wetlands in Washington State Volume 1: A Synthesis of the Science. Publication #05-06-006. 2005.
38. Washington State Department of Ecology. Wetlands in Washington State Volume 2: Guidance for Protecting and Managing Wetlands. Publication #05-06-008. 2005.
39. Washington State Department of Ecology and Seattle District Corps of Engineers “Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach”
40. Washington State Department of Transportation. Preliminary Wetland Mitigation Site Selection Process” February 2008

Further Reading:

- National Academy of Sciences. 2001. Compensating for Wetland Losses Under the Clean Water Act. <http://www.nap.edu/books/0309074320/html/>

RIBITS: Demonstration & Exercise

1. Credit Classifications (2016)
2. Finding Credits (2016)
3. *Getting Started with RIBITS (2016)
4. Reporting Tools (2016)
5. *RIBITS Demo & Exercise Outline
6. Understanding the Credit Ledger (2016)

Session 4: Real Estate Protection Instruments

1. *Reference Document: "Real Estate Protection Instruments"
2. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Charleston District Conservation Easement Model." September 2010.
3. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Charleston District Model Restrictive Covenants." September 2010.
4. CO Open Lands. Worksheet Calculating Stewardship and Conservation Easement Costs and Endowment
5. Department of Defense. Integrated Natural Resource Management Plans Factsheet. 2010
6. Department of Defense. Integrated Natural Resource Plan Manual. 2013. Number 4715.3
7. Gardner and Radwan. 2009. Corporate shell games: LLPs, LLCs, and responsibility for mitigation sites. National Wetlands Newsletter. Vol. 31 No. 6 pp 6-11.
8. General Services Administration. Memo on Restrictive Covenants on government lands – 1998
9. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Conservation Land Use Agreement Between Arizona Game and Fish Department and Los Angeles District (undated)
10. Medina SWCD Stewardship Endowment Formula Example
11. Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. "Conservation Easement Checklist." November 7, 2007.
12. Minnesota Conservation Easement Template
13. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Model Mitigation Bank Conservation Easement." Undated.
14. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Conservation Servitude Template – April 2009
15. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Declaration of Restrictions."
16. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. NAS Oceana Settlement Agreement
17. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Project Manager Declaration of Restrictions Checklist"
18. Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Conservation Easement for Mitigation Banks – Template." Undated.
19. Portland District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Public Notice Long Term Protection of Mitigation Projects March 2011

20. Raffini, Eric. 2012. *Mineral Rights and Banking*. National Wetlands Newsletter 34(5): 9-10.
21. Sacramento District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Beale AFB Conservation land use agreement
22. Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Conservation Easement Deed Template for Mitigation and Conservation Banks in California." March 2010.
23. Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Conservation Easement Template
24. *Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Property Assessment and Warranty Standard Template." July 2009.
25. Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Subordination Agreement Template
26. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Amendments to Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions." January 7, 2004.
27. *Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Conservation Land Use Agreement, December 2009
28. *Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. In-Lieu Fee CLU Agreement Model, 2010
29. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Instructions for Using the Model Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions with Permit Applications." January 7, 2004.
30. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Bank Checklist
31. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Model Conservation Land Use Agreement
32. *Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Model Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions." October 15, 2008
33. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Multi-Party Conservation Land Use Agreement Sample
34. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Standards for Qualified Conservation Easements." Undated
35. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. USFS multiple party agreement
36. Terzi, G. 2012. The Lummi Nation Wetland and Habitat Bank – Restoring a Piece of History. National Wetland Newsletter. 34(6): 12-13.
37. The Nature Conservancy V. Sims. 2012. Us. 6th District Court of Appeals.
38. The Nature Conservancy. The Nature Conservancy Stewardship Costs Calculator. 2016.
39. The Nature Conservancy. The Nature Conservancy Stewardship Costs Calculator Accompanying Handbook. 2016.

40. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Wilmington District Process for Preservation of Mitigation Property." November 25, 2003.
41. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Model Conservation Easement" Revised August 2003
42. Wisconsin Conservation Easement Template
43. Wood, Cynthia. 2013. *Site Protection Instruments for Compensatory Mitigation*. National Wetlands Newsletter 35: 8.

Session 5: The Business of Banking

1. Denisoff, C. R. "Business Considerations". Pp. 109-126, *In: N. Carroll, J Fox and R. Bayon (eds). Conservation and Biodiversity Banking: a Guide to Setting up and Running Biodiversity Credit Trading Systems*. Earthscan. 2008.
2. Denisoff, Craig and Greg DeYoung. "The Challenge of Implementing Market-Based Programs by Regulatory Agencies." National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 33, No. 4 (2011).
3. Denisoff, Craig and David Urban. "Evaluating the Success of Wetland Mitigation Banks." *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol. 34. No. 4 (2012): p 8-11
4. Denisoff, Craig. "Reconciling Watersheds and Ecoregions: What's in a Number?" *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol. 33. No. 1 (2011): p 7-8.
5. Denisoff, Craig. "The Bankers Perspective on the Prospectus" *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol. 34. No. 1 (2012): p 8-9.
6. Hook, Patrick and Spenser Shadle. "Navigating Wetland Mitigation Markets: a Study of Risks Facing Entrepreneurs and Regulators." Ecosystem Marketplace, The Katoomba Group, 23 Jan. 2014. Web.
7. Shabman, Leonard and Paul Scodari. "The Future of Wetlands Mitigation Banking." *Choices* Vol. 20(1): 2005: p 65-70.

Further Reading:

- Carroll, Nathaniel and Jessica Fox and Ricardo Bayon. *Conservation and Biodiversity Banking: A Guide to Setting Up and Running Biodiversity Credit Trading Systems* (Environmental Market Insights. EarthScan, 2008.

Session 6: Service Area Determination

1. *Reference Document: "Service Area Determination"
2. Amato, Brumbaugh, Deyoung, Doyle, Holland, and Martin. 2013. *Policy Forum Discussion: Service Areas*. National Wetland Newsletter. 35:9-17.
3. Code of Virginia. Title 62.1-44.15:23. Wetland and stream mitigation banks.
4. Denisoff. 2011. Reconciling Watersheds and Ecoregions: What's in a Number? National Wetlands Newsletter. 33(1):7-8.
5. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Proximity Factor Method, April 2009
6. Omernik and Bailey. 1997. Distinguishing Between Watersheds and Ecoregions. J. of Am. Water Res. Assn. 33(5): 935-949.
7. Ruhl and Salzman. 2006. The Effects of Mitigation Banking on People. National Wetlands Newsletter. 28(2):2-13.
8. Sacramento District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Service Area Guidelines October 2010.
9. Washington State. Washington State Wetland Mitigation Bank Service Area Guidance.
10. *Womble, P. and M. Doyle. *Setting geographic service areas for compensatory mitigation banking*. National Wetlands Newsletter Aug-Sept 2010. Pp 18-23
11. Womble, P. and M. Doyle. *The Geography of Trading Ecosystem Services: A Case Study of Wetland and Stream Compensatory Mitigation Markets*. Harvard Env. Law Review. 36:229-296 + app.

Session 7: In-Lieu Fee Mitigation

1. *Reference Document: "In-Lieu Fee Mitigation"
2. *Advance crediting summary
3. Coachella Valley ILF Program Instrument 2014.
4. Coastal Mississippi ILF Instrument, January 2010
5. Environmental Law Institute. In-Lieu Fee Mitigation: Model Instrument Language and Resources. December 2009.
6. Great Land Trust ILF Program Prospectus, August 2010
7. Hood Canal Coordinating Council ILF Basic Program Instrument 2012
8. Hood Canal Coordinating Council ILF Compensation Planning Framework and Technical Appendices 2012
9. Hood Canal Coordinating Council ILF Exhibits 2012
10. Kansas Watershed Land Trust ILF Instrument 2013

11. Kihlslinger, Woolsey, and Gaudioso. 2014. Establishing In-Lieu Fee Programs: Identifying Opportunities and Overcoming Challenges. National Wetlands Newsletter. 36(4):8-13
12. King County Mitigation Reserves Program Instrument, Signed 2012
13. King County Mitigation Reserves Program Instrument Appendices 2012
14. Kentucky Department of Fish & Wildlife Resources ILF Instrument, Dec 2011
15. Kentucky Department of Fish & Wildlife Resources ILF Public Notice, November 2010
16. Kentucky ILF Complete Application Checklist
17. Kentucky ILF Concept Plan Checklist
18. Kentucky ILF Early Coordination Checklist
19. Kentucky ILF Fee Program Information
20. Kentucky ILF Final Public Notice for LOP
21. Kentucky ILF Forward Early Coordination Agency Comments
22. Kentucky ILF General Conditions
23. Kentucky ILF Intent to Approve Mod Email
24. Kentucky ILF Intent to Use LOP & Initiation IRT Consultation email
25. Kentucky ILF LOP Implementation Process
26. Kentucky ILF Mitigation LOP Public Notice
27. Kentucky ILF Mod Approval & Authorization Letter
28. Kentucky ILF Mod Approval Email
29. Kentucky ILF Notification of 14 Day Early Coordination Period Email
30. Kentucky ILF Statement of Findings
31. La Paz County ILF MOA Policy and Annual Reports
32. La Paz County ILF MOA
33. La Paz County ILF Program Instrument 2013
34. Ladd. 2011. Post-mitigation rule IRTs in New England: overseeing transitions from pre-rule to rule-compliant In-lieu fee programs. National Wetland Newsletter Vol 33(2): 9-10
35. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. ILF Program Template Instrument 2012.
36. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. ILF Prospectus Checklist, March 2011
37. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Guidance on Developing ILF Compensation Planning Framework 2012.
38. Maine ILF Fact Sheet 2015
39. Maine Natural Resources Conservation Program (NRCP) 2013 Annual Report

40. Maine Natural Resources Conservation Program (NRCP) 2014 Funding Allocations
41. Maine Natural Resources Conservation Program (NRCP) ILF Instrument, Aug 2011
42. Maine Natural Resources Conservation Program (NRCP) Management Plan Template
43. Maine Natural Resources Conservation Program (NRCP) 2015 Request for Letters of Intent Package
44. Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game ILF 2014 Annual Report
45. Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game ILF Prospectus 2012
46. Missouri Stream Stewardship ILF Program Instrument 2013
47. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Enabling instrument
48. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit A: ILF Program Area
49. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit B: Service Areas
50. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit C: Credit Establishment and Tracking
51. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit D: Compensation Planning Framework
52. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit E: ILF Project Development Process
53. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation ILF Program Exhibit F: Program Account
54. New Hampshire Aquatic Resource Mitigation (ARM) Fee Calculator
55. New Hampshire Aquatic Resource Mitigation (ARM) ILF Program Instrument, 2012.
56. New Hampshire Aquatic Resource Mitigation (ARM) Project Approvals 2014
57. North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) Instrument. July 2010.
58. Northwest Florida Water Management District ILF Program Instrument. 2015
59. Ohio Wetland Foundation ILF Instrument 2014
60. Oregon Department of State Lands. ILF Instrument Modification Exhibits (includes Compensation Planning Framework), 2012
61. Oregon Department of State Lands. ILF Instrument, approved February 2009
62. Oregon Department of State Lands. Modified ILF Program Instrument, approved April 2012
63. Pierce Co ILF Appendices
64. Pierce Co ILF Exhibit 6 Credit Pricing Analysis
65. Pierce Co ILF Instrument
66. Prescott Creeks Preservation Association ILF Program Prospectus 2012
67. Quil Ceda Village ILF Appendix S Maps

68. Quil Ceda Village ILF Compensation Planning Framework and Appendices
69. Quil Ceda Village ILF Program Instrument 2013
70. Riverside Corona Compensation Planning Framework
71. Riverside Corona ILF Instrument
72. Riverside Corona RS RCD Atfillisch Long-term management plan
73. Santa Ana River Watershed Association of Resource Conservation Districts ILF Program, October 2009
74. TCF Alaska ILF Instrument. 2013.
75. Tennessee National Wildlife Federation ILF Instrument, Nov 2011
76. Tennessee Stream Mitigation Program ILF Instrument May 2013
77. The Land Learning Foundation: In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program Final Instrument, February 2015.
78. TNC Ohio Stream and Wetland In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program Compensation Planning Framework.
79. TNC Ohio Stream and Wetland In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program Instrument
80. Vermont ILF instrument (Ducks Unlimited) January 2011
81. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) 2014 Annual Report - Credit Balances
82. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) 2014 Annual Report - Project Summaries
83. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) 2014 Annual Report
84. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) 2014 Project Sites map
85. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) ELI Program Audit Report
86. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) Fee Schedule
87. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) HW Final Communication Letter
88. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) HW Final Management Letter
89. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) HW Final Statement
90. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) Program Compensation Planning Framework
91. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) Program Instrument, July 2011
92. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) Standard Operating Procedures 2013
93. Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF)TNC Program Audit Proposal 12.15.15
94. Washington Dept. of Ecology. Guidance on In-Lieu Fee Mitigation. 2012.
95. Wilkinson, J. 2009. *In-Lieu fee mitigation: Coming into compliance with the new Compensatory Mitigation Rule*. Wetland Ecology and Management. 17:53-70.

96. Wisconsin Wetland Conservation Trust ILF Program Instrument. 2014. Land Learning Foundation ILF Program Instrument 2015.

Session 8: Financial Assurances for Mitigation Project Success

1. *Reference Document: "Financial Assurances – Remedial and Long-Term"
2. Baltimore District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Escrow Agreement
3. Boyd, James. Financial Responsibility for Environmental Obligations: Are Bonding and Assurance Rules Fulfilling Their Promise? (2001)
4. Chicago District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Sample Irrevocable Letter of Credit
5. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Form No. 62-342.900(3). "Mitigation Bank Standby Trust Fund Agreement to Demonstrate Construction and Implementation Financial Assurance." Effective May 21, 2001.
6. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Form No. 62-342.900(4). "Mitigation Bank Trust Fund Agreement to Demonstrate Construction and Implementation Financial Assurance." Effective May 21, 2001.
7. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Form No. 62-342.900(5). "Mitigation Bank Trust Fund Agreement to Demonstrate Perpetual Management Financial Assurance." Effective May 21, 2001.
8. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Form No. 62-342.900(6). "Mitigation Bank Standby Trust Fund Agreement to Demonstrate Perpetual Management." Effective May 21, 2001.
9. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Rules on Financial Responsibility
10. Fort Worth District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Specimen Casualty Insurance Policy for Mitigation Banks. 2012.
11. Gardner, Royal C. and Theresa J. Pulley Radwan. "What Happens When a Wetland Mitigation Bank Goes Bankrupt?" *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Vol. 28, No. 4. Environmental Law Institute, July-August 2006.
12. Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "National Wetland Mitigation Banking Study: Technical and Procedural Support to Mitigation Banking Guidance." IWR Technical Paper WMB-TP-2. *see Chapter 5: Financial and Legal Assurances. December 1995.
13. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Model Performance Bond
14. Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Standard Operating Procedures for Financial Assurances, 2009.
15. Minnesota Performance Bond Template
16. New England District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Checklist for Performance Bond Completeness 2007.
17. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Template Escrow Agreement
18. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Template Escrow Agreement

19. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Letter of Credit Template
20. Scodari, P. S. Martin, & A. Willis. 2016. Implementing Financial Assurances For Mitigation Project Success. Institute for Water Resources White Paper.
21. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Standby Trust Agreement for Mitigation Banking
22. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Guidance on the Use of Financial Assurances, and Suggested Language for Special Conditions for Department of the Army Permits Requiring Performance Bonds*. Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 05-1, February 14, 2005.
23. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Memo: Financial Assurance Instruments for Compensatory Mitigation under the Corps Regulatory Program, December 1, 2011

Web Resources:

- Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Recommended Bond Surety Companies." Undated.
<http://www.fiscal.treasury.gov/fsreports/ref/suretyBnd/c570.htm>

Session 9: Credit Determination Methods

Part A: Wetland Credit Determination:

1. *Reference Document: "Wetland Credit Determination"
2. A.S.H. Mitigation Bank, LLC "Ash Slough Headwaters Mitigation Bank Addendum I Banking Instrument" undated.
3. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Guidelines for Preparing Compensatory Mitigation Plans, Oct 2010
4. Chicago District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Requirements, Oct 2009
5. Delaware Department of Natural Resources (DNR). DERAP Forms.
6. Delaware Department of Natural Resources (DNR). DERAP Value Protocol.
7. Delaware Department of Natural Resources (DNR). DERAP Field Protocol.
8. Fennessy, Siobhan. "Evaluating Mitigation Performance: Functional and Condition Based Assessment Methods." National Wetlands Newsletter, Volume 33, No. 2, 2011.
9. Florida Unified Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM) Training Manual
10. Florida Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method
11. Fort Worth and Tulsa District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "The Texas Rapid Assessment Method (TXRAM) Wetland and Streams Modules" October 2010.
12. Galveston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "SWG-Standard Operating Procedures (SOP); Using HGM to Determine Potential Wetland Functions and

- the Appropriate Compensatory Mitigation for Unavoidable Wetland Impacts” September 2008.
13. Galveston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Riverine Forested HGM Interim” undated.
 14. KBA EnviroScience, “Rockin’ K on Chambers Creek Mitigation Banking Instrument” December 2014.
 15. Lonard et al. Analysis of Methodologies Used for the Assessment of Wetlands Values. September 1981.
 16. Maine Department of Transportation. Maine DOT Umbrella Mitigation Banking Instrument, August 2011.
 17. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Ratio Method.” Undated.
 18. North Carolina WAM: Key to Wetlands
 19. North Carolina WAM.
 20. New England District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Compensatory Mitigation Ratios, 2010
 21. New Orleans District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Louisiana Rapid Assessment Method (LRAM)
 22. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Virginia DEQ. Template Mitigation Banking Instrument, 2010
 23. Northern Kentucky University, Center for Applied Ecology. Red Stone Farm Wetland Mitigation Bank Instrument, Pike County, Ohio, January 2007
 24. *Ohio Environmental Protection Agency. Mitigation & Monitoring Plan Checklist
 25. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Standard Operating Procedure: Compensatory Mitigation Wetland, Openwater & Streams” March 2004.
 26. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Standard Operating Procedure: Compensatory Mitigation Wetland, Openwater & Streams Attachment A” March 2004.
 27. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. “Standard Operating Procedure: Compensatory Mitigation Wetland, Openwater & Streams Attachment B” March 2004.
 28. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Credit Guide. Feb 2013.
 29. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Examples for Mitigation Ratio Setting Checklists.
 30. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. SOP for Determination of Mitigation Ratios.
 31. St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. “Guidelines for Wetland Compensatory Mitigation in Wisconsin.” pp. 15-18. February 2002.
 32. Stein, Brinson, Rains, Kleindl, and Hauer. 2009. Wetland Alphabet Soup: How to Choose (or Not Choose) the Right Assessment Method. Wetland. Sci. Practice. 26(4):20-25.

33. SWCA Environmental Consultants, "Mitigation Banking Instrument Gin City Mitigation Bank Harris County Texas SWG-2011-01182" February 2014.
34. SWCA Environmental Consultants, "Mitigation Banking Instrument Gin City Mitigation Bank Harris County Texas SWG-2011-01182, Attachments A & B" February 2014.
35. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC). A Regional Guidebook for Applying the Hydrogeomorphic Approach to Assessing Wetland Functions of Forested Wetlands in Alluvial Valleys of the Coastal Plain of the Southeastern United States. April 2013.
36. *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Public Notice: Wetland Mitigation Banking in OH, March 2010
37. Washington State Department of Ecology. Calculating Credits and Debits for Compensatory Mitigation in Wetlands of Western Washington, March 2012.

Part B: Stream Credit Determination:

1. *Reference Document: "Stream Credit Determination"
2. California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) Manual. 2013.
3. California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) Riverine Field Book. 2013.
4. Charleston District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Compensatory Mitigation Standard Operating Procedure. September 19, 2002"
5. Environmental Law Institute. A Function Based Review of Stream Restoration Science. 2016.
6. Environmental Law Institute. Assessing Stream Mitigation Guidelines at the Corps District and State Levels. 2016.
7. Environmental Law Institute. Assessing Stream Mitigation Practice. 2016.
8. Fischenich, J. Craig. "Functional Objectives for Stream Restoration", EMRRP, September 2006
9. Harman, W., R. Starr, M. Carter, K. Tweedy, M. Clemmons, K. Suggs, C. Miller. *A Function-Based Framework for Stream Assessment and Restoration Projects*. US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Washington, DC. 2012.
10. Harman, W., R. Starr. Natural Channel Design Review Checklist. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Chesapeake Bay Field Office, Annapolis, MD and US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Wetlands Division. Washington, DC. 2011.
11. Huntington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. West Virginia IRT Initiatives Guidance. 2010.
12. Huntington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. West Virginia Stream and Wetlands Valuation Metric (SWVM) Instructional Guide.
13. Huntington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. West Virginia Stream and Wetlands Valuation Metric (SWVM) Metric Worksheet.
14. Huntington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. West Virginia Stream and Wetlands Valuation Metric (SWVM).

15. Little Rock District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Stream Method 2011
16. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), Compensatory Stream Mitigation Guidelines." 2012.
17. North Carolina Stream Assessment Method (NC SAM) Field Form
18. North Carolina Stream Assessment Method (NC SAM) Rating Calculator
19. North Carolina Stream Assessment Method (NC SAM) Version 2. 2014
20. Nebraska Stream Assessment Procedure (Interim) 2012.
21. Nebraska Stream Assessment and Mitigation Procedure Calculation Spreadsheet (NeSCAP Calcbook). 201s
22. New England District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Proposed Revision of New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance. 2015.
23. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. "Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia." January 2007.
24. Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Wyoming Stream Mitigation Procedure. 2013.
25. Pennsylvania DEP. Pennsylvania Function Based Aquatic Resource Compensation Protocol Draft Version 1.
26. Somerville, D.E. and B.A. Pruitt. *Physical Stream Assessment: A Review of Selected Protocols for Use in the Clean Water Act Section 404 Program*. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Wetlands Division (Order No. 3W-0503-NATX). Washington, D.C. 213 pp. September 2004.
27. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501: SOP for the Determination of Mitigation Ratios.
28. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.1: Mitigation Ratio Checklist.
29. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.2: Instructions for Preparing Mitigation Ratio Setting Checklist.
30. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.3: Mitigation Ratio Checklist Examples.
31. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.4: Before/After-Mitigation-Impact Spreadsheet – Step 3 BAMl.
32. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.5: Mitigation Ratio Training Presentation.
33. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 12501.6: Mitigation Ratio Checklist in Excel format.
34. Stream Mechanics. DRAFT Stream Function Quantification Tool. 2014.
35. Stream Mechanics. Stream Functions Pyramid Framework Functional Uplift Table
36. Stream Mechanics. Stream Functions Pyramid.

37. Texas Rapid Assessment Method (TXRAM) Stream Data Sheet
38. Texas Rapid Assessment Method (TX RAM) Stream Scoring Sheet
39. Texas Rapid Assessment Method (TX RAM) Wetland and Stream Modules
40. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Branch and Environmental Protection Agency, Wetlands Division. "Stream Mitigation Compendium," National Wetlands Mitigation Action Plan. 2004.
41. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High Gradient Ephemeral and Intermittent Headwater Streams in Western West Virginia and Eastern Kentucky. ERDC EL TR-10-11, 2010.
42. U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). Stream Visual Assessment Protocol Version 2. 2009.
43. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Stream Assessment and Mitigation Protocols: A Review of Commonalities and Differences, May 2010
44. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. "Information Regarding Stream Restoration with Emphasis on the Coastal Plain." April 4, 2007.
45. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, North Carolina Division of Water Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission. "Stream Mitigation Guidelines." April 2003.
46. Yochum, Steven E. Guidance for Stream Restoration and Rehabilitation. Technical Note 102.2. U.S. Forest Service, National Stream & Aquatic Ecology Center. 2016.

Web Resources:

- Association of State Wetland Managers (ASWM):
<http://www.aswm.org/wetland-programs/regulation/mitigation/stream-mitigation>
- Environmental Law Institute (ELI): The State of Stream Compensatory Mitigation: Science, Policy, and Practice <http://www.eli.org/compensatory-mitigation/state-stream-compensatory-mitigation-science-policy-and-practice>
- EPA Compensatory Mitigation: <https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/compensatory-mitigation> *click on "Technical Resources for Stream Mitigation"
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). "Stream Restoration Design." Part 654 National Engineering Handbook. August 2007.
<http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/viewerFS.aspx?id=3491>
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service:
<https://www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay/stream/protocols.html>

Session 10: Ecological Performance Standards and Credit Release Schedules

Part A: Ecological Performance

1. *Reference Document: "Ecological Performance Standards and Credit Release Schedules"
2. Environmental Law Institute. *Measuring Mitigation: A Review of the Science for Compensatory Mitigation Performance Standards*. Washington DC. 2004.
3. Evans et al. *North River Wetland Restoration Research. Final Report to NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program*. North Carolina State University. 2009.
4. Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "A Suggested Approach to Enforceable Performance Standards: Considerations in writing performance standards." June 2007.
5. Martin, Steven, Robert Brumbaugh, and Palmer Hough. "Conceptualizing Mitigation Performance Standards." *National Wetlands Newsletter*. Environmental Law Institute. March-April 2005.
6. Mathews and Endress. *Performance Criteria, Compliance Success, and Vegetation Development in Compensatory Mitigation Wetlands*. 2007.
7. Mobile District, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Habitat Success Criteria/Credit Release Schedules/Monitoring Criteria" for Bayhead drains, Bottomland Hardwoods, and Pine Flats
8. Morgan, Joseph A. and Palmer Hough. *Compensatory Mitigation Performance: The State of the Science*. National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 37 (6). 2015.
9. New England District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Compensatory Mitigation Guidance 2010*
10. Ossinger, Mary. *Success standards for wetland mitigation projects – a guideline*. Washington State Department of Transportation, Environmental Affairs Office. 1999.
11. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Columbia River Mitigation Bank Performance Standards*. 2011.
12. St. Paul District, US Army Corps of Engineers. *Target Hydrology and Performance Standards for Compensatory Mitigation Sites – Version 6.0*. memo. 2016.
13. St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Typical Bank Performance Standards*
14. Stefanik and Mitsch. *Structural and Functional Vegetation Development in Created and Restored Mitigation Banks of Different Ages*. *Ecol. Engineering*. 39:104-112. 2012.
15. Stolt et. al. *Comparison of Soil and Other Environmental Conditions in Constructed and Adjacent Palustrine Reference Wetlands*. *Wetlands* 20(4): 671-683. 2000.

16. Washington State Department of Transportation. "Woody Vegetation Performance Criteria for Wetland Mitigation Sites in Washington." April 2008.
17. Washington State Department of Transportation. "Writing Performance Measures and Performance Standards for Wetland Mitigation" April 2008.
18. Zedler. Success: An Unclear, Subjective Descriptor of Restoration Outcomes. *Ecol. Restoration*. 25(3): 162-168. 2007.

Part B: Wetland & Stream Credit Release Schedules

1. Ahn, C. and Peralta, R. M. Soil properties are useful to examine denitrification function development in created mitigation wetlands. *Ecol. Engineering* 49: 130-136. 2012.
2. Ambrose, R. and S. Lee. An Evaluation of Compensatory Mitigation Projects Permitted Under Clean Water Act Section 401 by the Los Angeles Regional Quality Control Board, 1991-2002. Department of Environmental Health Sciences. UCLA. 2004.
3. Cooper and Merritt. Assessing the Water Needs of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation in the Western United States, Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-282. Fort Collins, CO. USDA, Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Research Station. 2012.
4. Environmental Law Institute. *Assessing Stream Mitigation Guidelines at the Corps District and State Levels*. White Paper. 2016.
5. Environmental Law Institute. *Assessing Stream Mitigation Practice*. White Paper. 2016.
6. Fennessy, S. Evaluating Mitigation Performance: Functional and Condition-Based Assessment Methods. *National Wetland Newsletter*. 33(2) 8-9. 2011.
7. Harman, W. and M. Carter, *A function-based review of stream restoration science*. ELI White Paper. 2016.
8. Huntington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Guideline for Wetland Mitigation Banking in Ohio"
9. Mack, John and Mick Micacchion. Ecological Assessment of Ohio Mitigation Banks. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2006-1
10. Mack, John J., Siobhan F. Fennessy, Mick Micacchion, and Deni Porej. INTEGRATED WETLAND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM. Part 6. Standardized Monitoring Protocols and Performance Standards for Wetland Creation, Restoration, and Enhancement, Version 1.0. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-6. 2004.
11. Memphis, Kansas City, St Louis, Little Rock, and Rock Island Districts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Missouri Stream Mitigation Method." February 2007.
12. Missouri Steam Mitigation Method. February 2007
13. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Bottomland Hardwoods Habitat Success Criteria/Credit Release Schedule. 2016 update.
14. Mobile District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Guidance for Development of Stream Mitigation Performance Standards"

15. Moreno-Mateos et al. Structural and Functional Loss in Restored Wetland Ecosystems. PLoS Biology. 10(1):1-27. 2012.
16. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Virginia DEQ. Template Mitigation Banking Instrument. 2010.
17. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2010-1A: Assessment of Wetland Mitigation Projects in Ohio, 2010
18. Reiss, Kelly Chinnners, Erica Hernandez, Mark T. Brown. An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking in Florida: Ecological Success and Compliance with Permit Criteria. May 2009.
19. Reiss, Kelly Chinnners, Erica Hernandez, Mark T. Brown. An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking in Florida: Ecological Success and Compliance with Permit Criteria: Appendix A: Data Collection Methods for Field Surveys
20. Reiss, Kelly Chinnners, Erica Hernandez, Mark T. Brown. An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking in Florida: Ecological Success and Compliance with Permit Criteria: Appendix B: Field Data Sheets
21. Reiss, Kelly Chinnners, Erica Hernandez, Mark T. Brown. An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking in Florida: Ecological Success and Compliance with Permit Criteria: Appendix C: Mitigation Bank State Permit Summaries with Success Criteria and Credit Release Schedules
22. Robertson, Morgan M. Emerging ecosystem service markets: trends in a decade of entrepreneurial wetland banking. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 4:297-302. 2006.
23. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mitigation Metrics and Performance Standards in Savannah District Mitigation Bank Guidelines (Appendix 10). Jan 2011.
24. Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Washington Department of Ecology. Credit Guide for Mitigation Banks. 2013.
25. Smith et al. An approach for assessing wetland functions using hydrogeomorphic classification, reference wetlands and functional indices. Wetlands Research Program Technical Report WRP-DE-9. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1995.
26. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Examples of Uniform Performance Standards
27. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Table of Uniform Performance Standards
28. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Uniform Performance Standards 2012.
29. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Worksheet for Uniform Performance Standards
30. Spiels, D.J., M. Coneybeer, J. Horn. Community Structure and Quality After 10 Years in Two Central Ohio Mitigation Bank Wetlands. Environ. Manage. 38:837-852. 2006.

31. Spiels, Douglas J. Vegetation development in created, restored, and enhanced mitigation wetland banks of the United States. *Wetlands* 25: No. 1. 2005.
32. Wall, C.B. and K.J. Stevens. Assessing Wetland Mitigation Efforts Using Standing Vegetation and Seedbank Community Structure in Neighboring Natural and Compensatory Mitigation Wetlands in North-Central Texas. *Wetl. Ecol.Manage.* 2014.
33. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Credit Release Schedules April 2011
34. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Performance Standards and Monitoring Requirements. 2013.
35. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. 2003 **success criteria stated in pages 23-25*

Session 11: How Conservation Banking Relates to Mitigation and Joint Banking

Part A: Conservation Banking

1. California Multi-Agency Project Delivery Team. Conservation Easement Template
2. California Multi-Agency Project Delivery Team. Subordination Agreement Template
3. Clement, J.P. et al. A strategy for improving the mitigation policies and practices of the Department of the Interior. A report to the Secretary of the Interior from the Energy and Climate Change Task Force, Washington, D.C., 2014.
4. DOI Secretarial Order 3330: Improving Mitigation Policies and Practices of the Department of the Interior, 2013.
5. Executive Order 13186: Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds, January 2001.
6. National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA. West Coast Region. West Coast Region Conservation Banking Guidance. 2015.
7. Sacramento Fish & Wildlife Office, USFWS. Santa Rosa Programmatic Biological Opinion. 1998.
8. Sacramento Fish & Wildlife Office, USFWS. Vernal Pool Programmatic Biological Opinion. 1996.
9. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Greater Sage Grouse Range-wide Mitigation Framework. 2014.
10. *U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Guidance for the Establishment, Use, and Operation of Conservation Banks. 2003.
11. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. "Strategic Habitat Conservation: Landscape Conservation Cooperatives." Fact Sheet: 2012.
12. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. "Strategic Habitat Conservation: Thinking Bigger for Fish and Wildlife". Fact Sheet: 2012.

13. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service – Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi. Guidelines for the Establishment, Management and Operation of Gopher Tortoise Conservation Banks. 2009.
14. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service – Southwest Region. Guidelines for the Establishment, Management and Operation of Golden-cheeked Warbler and Black-capped Vireo Mitigation Lands. 2013.

Web Resources:

- USFWS Endangered Species Act
<http://www.fws.gov/endangered/laws-policies/index.html>
- USFWS ESA Section 7 Consultation
<http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/consultations-overview.html>
- USFWS ESA Section 10 Habitat Conservation Plans
<http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/hcp-overview.html>

Part B: Joint Banks & Credit Stacking

1. *California Multi-Agency Project Delivery Team. Mitigation Bank Enabling Instrument.
2. Climate Action Reserve. Nutrient Management Project Protocol Credit Stacking Subcommittee Management Memo 1. 2011.
3. EPRI. “US National Opinion Survey on Stacking Environmental Credits.” Technical Report. 2011.
4. Fox, J, R. Gardner, and T. Maki. Stacking opportunities and risks in environmental markets. Environmental Law Reporter. 41:10121-10125. 2011.
5. Gardner and Fox. “The Legal Status of Environmental Credit Stacking.” Stetson University College of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper Series, Research Paper 2014-2.
6. *Layne, Valerie. “Layering Multiple Credit Types in Mitigation Banks.” National Wetland Newsletter 33(1): 2011
7. Martin, Steve. “An Alternative to Unbundling Ecosystem Services.” Viewpoints, September/October 2010.
8. Pindilli, E. and F. Casey. Biodiversity and habitat markets: policy, economic and ecological implications of market-based conservation. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1414. 2015.
9. Robertson, BenDor, Lave, Riggsbee, Ruhl, and Doyle. Stacking Ecosystem Services. Front. Ecol. Environ. 12(3): 186-193. 2014.
10. *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Compensatory Mitigation Rule: Timeline for Bank or ILF Instrument Approval. 2008. **see print-out under session 2*
11. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service et al. “Eco-Logical: An Ecosystem Approach to Developing Infrastructure Projects.” April 2006.

12. Wilkinson and Thompson. 2005 Status Report on Compensatory Mitigation in the United States. Environmental Law Institute. 2006.

Session 12: Long-Term Management & Stewardship Financing

1. *Reference Document: "Long-Term Management Plans"
2. Blaine, M. Developing Long-Term Management Plans for Mitigation Sites. National Wetlands Newsletter. 33(3) 9-10. 2011.
3. California Department of Fish & Game. Long-term management funding recipient agreement. 2011
4. *Checklist of Required Comprehensive Conservation Plan Elements
5. City of Carlsbad – Open Space Management Plan
6. *Center for Natural Lands Management (CNLM). Annotated Outline of Standard Format Management Plan for Resource and Habitat Conservation Areas – Five-Year Management Plan.
7. Center for Natural Lands Management (CNLM). Natural Lands Management Cost Analysis: 28 Case Studies. 2004.
8. Center for Natural Lands Management (CNLM). *Project Evaluation Checklist (Due Diligence & Acquisition Considerations)*. 2005.
9. CommonFund Institute. "Principles of Nonprofit Investment Management". 2005.
10. Environmental Law Institute and Land Trust Alliance. *Wetland and Stream Mitigation: A Handbook for Land Trusts*. 2012.
11. Gary, S. Charities, Endowments, and Donor Intent: The Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act. Georgia Law Review 1277. 2007.
12. Hutton, Lyn, et al. "Asset Allocation: The Case for Diversified Inflation Hedging Strategies". CommonFund Institute. 2009.
13. Kihlsinger, R, et. al. Taking on the Long-Term Stewardship of Wetlands Mitigation Sites. National Wetlands Newsletter. 29(3) 29-32. 2007.
14. Layne, Valerie. No Endowment, No Protection: Long-Term Funding Considerations for Mitigation and Conservation Banks. National Wetlands Newsletter. 34(1) 7-8. 2012.
15. Maine Natural Resource Conservation Program. Management Plan Template. 2013.
16. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation (NFWF). Impact-Directed Environmental Accounts (IDEA) Info Sheet
17. *Sacramento District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Long-Term Management Plan Template
18. San Diego Foundation. Endowment Agreement Template. 2013.
19. San Diego Foundation. Investment Policy Statement. 2013.
20. Teresa, Sherry. "Perpetual Stewardship Considerations for Compensatory Mitigation and Mitigation Banks." May 2009.

21. Teresa, Sherry. "The Demise of The Environmental Trust." *Ecosystem Marketplace*. March 9, 2006.
22. The Nature Conservancy (TNC). *The Long-Term Stewardship Calculator Accompanying Handbook*. 2016.

Session 13: 3rd Party Mitigation Oversight & Compliance

1. *Reference Document: – "3rd Party Mitigation Oversight & Compliance"
2. California Agreement for Sale of Mitigation Credits.
3. Detroit District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Mitigation Guidelines and Requirements*. 2008
4. Gardner, R. and T. R. Pulley. *Corporate Shell Games: LLPs, LLCs, and Responsibility for Mitigation Sites*. *National Wetland Newsletter*. 31(6):6-11. 2009.
5. Gardner, R. *Mitigation Banking & Reputational Risk*. *National Wetland Newsletter*. 34(6): 10-11. 2012.
6. Gardner, R. C. *Mitigation Enforcement, Breach of Contract, and the Law of Unintended Consequences*. *National Wetlands Newsletter*. 37(2): 11-12. 2015.
7. Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Mitigation Bank Credit Sale Template*.
8. *Libertyville Wetland Mitigation Bank Third Annual Monitoring Report (2008)*
9. *Libertyville Wetland Mitigation Bank Third Annual Monitoring Report Photo Appendix (2008)*
10. *Maine In-Lieu Fee (ILF) Project Worksheet Template*. 2011.
11. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. "Recommendations for Wetland Compensatory Mitigation: Including Site Design, Permit Conditions, Performance and Monitoring Criteria." 2004.
12. Norfolk District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Initial Credit Release Checklist*. October 2009.
13. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Credit Sales Form*.
14. Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Guidelines to Evaluate Mitigation Bank Credit Purchases*.
15. South Pacific Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Regional Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Guidelines 2015*
16. Tanner, C. et. al. *Restoration of freshwater intertidal habitat functions at Spencer Island, Everett, Washington*. *Restoration Ecology* 10(3): 564-576. 2012.
17. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Minimum Monitoring Requirements for Compensatory Mitigation Projects Involving Restoration, Establishment and/or Enhancement of Aquatic Resources*. Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 08-03. August 3, 2006.

18. Wilmington District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Bank & ILF Transfer of Mitigation Responsibility Agreement. 2013.

Session 14: Dispute Resolution

1. *Reference Document: "Dispute Resolution"
2. Alaska Statewide Interagency Review Team for Compensatory Mitigation Roles and Responsibilities Agreement. 2013.
3. California 8 Party MOU on Mitigation and Conservation Banking and ILF Programs (2011) *see session 2 in binder for hard copy
4. Oregon Interagency Review Team Roles and Responsibilities. 2013
5. *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Final Compensatory Mitigation Rule: Timeline for Bank Instrument Dispute Resolution. 2008.
6. *U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Letter to New York District, USACE Re: Dispute of Kane Mitigation Bank. Dec 29, 2009.
7. Wood, Cindy. "From Building Sandcastles to Building Wetlands." National Wetlands Newsletter, Vol. 35 No. 6 (2013).

III. Course Exercise References

Instrument Used for Mock Review Exercises

- Brandy Branch Mitigation Bank Instrument (MBI) **hard copy bound separately from notebook*

Model Exhibits from the Cosumnes Floodplain Bank Enabling Instrument (BEI)

- Exhibit E: **for comparison with elements from Part A of the Mock Review Exercise*
 - E-1 Preliminary Title Report
 - E-2 Property Assessment & Warranty
 - E-3 Plat Map
 - E-4 Conservation Easement
 - E-5 Title Insurance Report
- Exhibit D: **for comparison with elements from Part B of the Mock Review Exercise*
 - D-2 Endowment Funding Schedule
 - D-5 Long-Term Management Plan