EPA Wetland Program Development Grants

Improving Compensatory Mitigation

Mitigation Stakeholders Forum September 20-22, 2004 Tampa, Florida



Wetland Program Development Grants

- Grant Program Background
 - Authority
 - Eligibility
- Funding Priorities
- Compensatory Mitigation Examples
- Future Directions



Grant Program Background

- Purpose
 - Provide direct support to S/T/LG to increase their participation in wetland protection
- Establishment
 - 1990
- Appropriation
 - Initial: \$1 million STAG
 - Current: \$15 million STAG

Funding Authority:

- Statutory authority
 - CWA § 104(b)(3)
- Authorized activities
 - Research, investigations, experiments, training, demonstrations, surveys, and studies
 - Related to causes, effects, extent, prevention, reduction, and elimination of water pollution

Who is Eligible?

- Eligible applicants
 - CWA 104(b)(3): S/T/LG, intertribal consortia, interstate agencies, NGOs
 - National WPDG guidelines:
 - Regions: S/T/LG, intertribal consortia, interstate agencies
 - HQ: NGOs
- Eligible activities
 - 104(b)(3) authorized activities aimed at developing and refining wetland management programs

Making Funding Decisions

- 25% Match
- Competitive program
- Funding Priorities
 - Improving compensatory mitigation
 - Developing monitoring and assessment programs
 - Protecting vulnerable wetlands

Compensatory Mitigation

- Develop and verify assessment methods and/or tracking systems that document:
 - Technical adequacy of mitigation project plans
 - Ecological suitability of mitigation project sites
 - Mitigation project compliance
 - Cumulative impacts
- Prohibitions

Examples:

- Florida
 - Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Mitigation Banking
- North Carolina
 - Expand and Update Computerized Tracking System for NC's Freshwater Wetland Permitting Program
- Washington
 - Revise Mitigation Guidelines and develop "Landscapescale" Wetland Management Guidance

Examples:

- San Francisco Estuary Institute, So CA Coastal Water Research Project, and Coastal Commission
 - Development of CA Rapid Assessment Method CRAM
- CA State Water Resources Control Board
 - Assess mitigation effectiveness
 - Los Angeles Region 10/04
 - San Diego Region underway

Examples:

- Iowa DOT
 - Assessment of Compensatory Mitigation
- Venture County, CA
 - Impact assessment and Mitigation Guidelines
- NGOs
 - NatureServe Mitigation Site Performance Standards pilot

Future Directions

- 2005 National WPDG guidelines
 - Under review
 - Likely maintain three priorities
- Compensatory Mitigation Priority
 - Emphasis on developing, improving, and/or refining Performance Standards