

Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (EPA's Final Transport Rule)

How Did We Get Here?

Gabriel Rodriguez
August 23, 2011

TRANSPORT RULE

Purpose of Transport Rule:

- Address CAA Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) obligations with respect to:
 - 1997 8-hour ozone standard,
 - 1997 annual PM2.5 standard, and
 - 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard
- Respond to the court's remand of CAIR in <u>North</u>
 Carolina v. EPA



CLEAN AIR INTERSTATE RULE

- CAA Section 110(a)(2)(D) --States must prohibit sources from emitting pollutants in amounts that "contribute significantly to nonattainment in, or interfere with maintenance by, any other State" with respect to any NAAQS.
- CAIR promulgated in 2005 -- downwind nonattainment for Ozone and PM2.5
- Established cap-and-trade programs for SO2 and NOx
- 28 States and DC subject to one or both of the programs



CAIR (NOx Budget)

- Used NOx trading program as a starting point
- Region-wide budget based on upwind states' average annual heat input multiplied by a uniform emission rate
- State budgets based on each State's average annual heat input, subject to a fuel adjustment factor (effectively gave more allowances to states with a higher percentage of coal-fired units).
- Two phases 2009 and 2015.



CAIR (SO2 Budgets)

- Used Title IV trading program as a starting point
- EPA summed all the Title IV allowances allotted to EGUs in the covered states and then
 - Phase 1 (2010) Reduced available Title IV allowances by 50%
 - Phase 2 (2015) Reduce Title IV allowances by 65%
- States choosing not to opt into trading program had to provide for retiring or surrendering Title IV allowances.



North Carolina v. EPA

- North Carolina, power companies and others challenge numerous aspects of the rule
- July 2008 -- DC Circuit grants several of the petitions, denies several, but . . .
- Strikes down CAIR in its entirety; Rule so deeply flawed none of it can stand
- Invalidates FIPs
- December 23, 2008 –Rehearing granted and remands to EPA without vacating rule



North Carolina v. EPA

- Trading programs failed to assure actual reductions in a upwind States
- Methodology for setting NOx budgets/caps did not consider state-specific impacts to downwind nonattainment
- Reliance on Title IV for the SO2 budget/caps not related to state-specific impacts to downwind nonattainment
- No authority to alter the value of Title IV allowances
- Did not consider "interference with maintenance" prong
- Reliance on 2015 as the NAAQS attainment date



CHRONOLOGY

- May 2005 Clean Air Interstate Rule promulgated
- April 2006 CAIR Federal Implementation Plans promulgated
- July 2008 North Carolina v. EPA (D.C. Cir.) decided
- December 23, 2008 Court grants rehearing and remands to EPA without vacating rule
- August 2010 Transport Rule is proposed.
 - Pollutants in CAIR
 - Downwind interference with the 2006 24-hour PM 2.5 NAAQS
- August 8, 2011 Final Transport Rule published in the Federal Register
- Appeal deadline: October 7, 2011

