Sensing A Change In The Air

Tim Dye Sonoma Technology, Inc. Petaluma, California

J.B. and Maurice C. Shapiro Environmental Law Symposium: Advanced Monitoring, Remote Sensing, and Data Gathering, Analysis and Disclosure in Compliance and Enforcement

> Washington, DC March 26, 2015



Air Quality Monitoring Is Changing



Expensive Accurate Few sites Used by experts



Lower-cost Less accurate Many sites (mobile) Used by everybody



About Air Sensors

- Range in size from small to large
- Range of cost: USD \$100-\$5,000
- Gas and particles
 - Many gas sensors
 - Some particle sensors
 - Few toxics sensors
- Fixed, mobile, personal
- Components
 - Sensor
 - Data Storage
 - Communications
 - Display



SCIENTIFIC







Sensors in the Private Sector









Airboxlab

Canary







CubeSensor



Lapka



Sensordrone

Three Challenges





- Quality
 - Accuracy
 - No standards for low-cost devices
 - Many interferences
 - Some perform well; others don't work
- Quantity
 - Storage, processing, and usage requirements
 - Governance, usage rights, privacy



- Are air sensors accurate?
- Example:
 - Study at utility
 - Can PM sensors detect PM₁₀?
 - Field study focusing on quick evaluation





Other Evaluation Studies:

- EPA
- South Coast Air Quality
 Management District
- EU Joint Research Commission
- Private sector organizations
- Individuals









1-second data

Just 4 hr/day for 1 year

Sonoma Technology, Inc.

- Clear, easy to use, understandable displays
- Integration of other information (weather, sources, activity, pictures, etc.)
- Automation for
 - Adding supporting information
 - Detecting events/issues (indoor/outdoor, local vs. regional source, short-term high value vs. persistent problem)
 - Providing context
- Paradigm shift creating information
 - Fixed → mobile
 - − 1-hr data \rightarrow 1-second data
 - − Accurate \rightarrow may not know
 - Outdoor → unknown



- Webcam animations with auto detect and historic query capability
- Coke facility in Pittsburgh, PA







Color with ~60% opacity



Color with ~100% opacity



Credit: CREATE Lab at Carnegie Mellon University

 PM_{2.5} from residential wood burning study in Santa Rosa, CA



Pop: 160,000 Area: 20x15 miles











Fixed Monitors





Mobile Monitor







Large gradients of PM_{2.5} due to local wood burning and light winds.

150

65

35

12

Use – User Education

- Need education about air pollution
- Some questions people are asking:
 - Is sensor working?
 - Do high values pose a health concern now? In the long term?
 - What do the values really mean?
 - How can I lower my exposure?
 - How can I share and connect with others?
 - How does a measurement compare to reference data?
 - Where is the source(s) of this pollution?
 - How does this relate to other risks (smoking, etc.)?
 - How does this relate to other sites and locations that I'm interested in?

• Simple questions. Complex answers.



Use – User Education

Kids Making Sense – Teach youth how to measure particle pollution using air quality sensors and to interpret the data they collect.



Learn

Measure

Discover

Interpret



Potential Opportunities from Low-Cost Monitoring

- Lower the costs for government, industry, and the public
- Identify problems and areas of clean air
- Engage new people/advocates
- Use power of information to change public policy



Three Challenges





Contact

Tim Dye tim@sonomatech.com www.CitizenAir.net @timsdye @sonoma_tech 707.665.9900



